arXiv:math/0612347v2 [math.GR] 19 Nov 2007

NORMAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF A FREE METABELIAN NILPOTENT GROUP

GÉRARD ENDIMIONI

ABSTRACT. An automorphism φ of a group G is said to be normal if $\varphi(H) = H$ for each normal subgroup H of G. These automorphisms form a group containing the group of inner automorphisms. When G is a nonabelian free (or free soluble) group, it is known that these groups of automorphisms coincide, but this is not always true when G is a free metabelian nilpotent group. The aim of this paper is to determine the group of normal automorphisms in this last case.

1. Preliminary results

In a group G, consider a map $\varphi : G \to G$ of the form

$$\varphi : x \to x\,[x, u_1]^{\lambda(1)} \dots [x, u_m]^{\lambda(m)},$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_m$ are elements of G, the exponents $\lambda(1), \dots, \lambda(m)$ being integers (as usual, the commutator $[a, b]$ is defined by $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$). When G is metabelian, using the relation $[xy, u] = y^{-1}[x, u]y[y, u]$, it is easy to see that φ is an endomorphism of group. These endomorphisms appear in [7] as a solution to a problem of extension from G' to G for certain endomorphisms (also see [1]).

Now consider in an arbitrary group G the map $\varphi_{u,v} : x \to x[x, u][x, v]$, with $u, v \in G$ and suppose that $\varphi_{u,v}$ is an endomorphism. It is then easy to show that the equality $\varphi_{u,v}(xy^{-1}) = \varphi_{u,v}(x)\varphi_{u,v}(y^{-1})$ is equivalent to the relation $[u, y][x, v] = [x, v][u, y]$. Consequently, if $\varphi_{u,v}$ is an endomorphism for any $u, v \in G$, the group G is metabelian. One can summarize these preliminary remarks like this.

Proposition 1.1. *In a group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E36, 20F28.

Key words and phrases. Normal Automorphism; Free Metabelian Nilpotent Group.

(i) *every map* $\varphi : G \to G$ *of the form* $\varphi : x \to x\prod_{i=1}^{m}[x,u_i]^{\lambda(i)}$ ($u_i \in G$, $\lambda(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$) *is an endomorphism;*
(ii) G *is metabelian.*

It is very easy to see that in an metabelian group, such endomorphisms are not necessarily automorphisms (consider for example the endomorphism $x \to x[x,b]^{-1}$ in the nonabelian group of order 6 defined by the presentation $\langle a,b \,|\, a^3 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1\rangle$). But in a nilpotent group, each map of the form

$$x \to w_0 x^{\lambda(1)} w_1 x^{\lambda(2)} \dots x^{\lambda(n)} w_n \quad (\text{with } \lambda(1)+\lambda(2)+\cdots+\lambda(n) = \pm 1)$$

is bijective [2, Theorem 1]. Hence we have:

Proposition 1.2. *In a metabelian nilpotent group* G*, every map* $\varphi : G \to G$ *of the form* $\varphi : x \to x\prod_{i=1}^{m}[x,u_i]^{\lambda(i)}$ ($u_i \in G$, $\lambda(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$) *is an automorphism.*

For convenience sake, in a metabelian nilpotent group, an automorphism of the form $x \to x\prod_{i=1}^{m}[x,u_i]^{\lambda(i)}$ will be called a *generalized inner automorphism*. Obviously, every inner automorphism is a generalized inner automorphism, since $u^{-1}xu = x[x,u]$. Notice that in a nilpotent group G of class ≤ 2, we may write

$$\varphi(x) = x\prod_{i=1}^{m}[x,u_i]^{\lambda(i)} = x\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[x,u_i^{\lambda(i)}\right] = x\left[x,\prod_{i=1}^{m}u_i^{\lambda(i)}\right].$$

Hence $\varphi(x) = x[x,u] = u^{-1}xu$, where $u = \prod_{i=1}^{m}u_i^{\lambda(i)}$. Consequently, in a nilpotent group of class ≤ 2, the notions of inner automorphism and generalized inner automorphism coincide. On the other hand, in the free nilpotent group of class 3 and rank 2 freely generated by a and b, it is easy to see that the map $x \to x[x,a,a]$ is a generalized inner automorphism but is not an inner automorphism.

As usual, in a group, the left-normed commutator $[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1,\dots,x_n] &= [x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]^{-1}[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]^{x_n}\\ &= [x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]^{-1}x_n^{-1}[x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}]x_n.\end{aligned}$$

The next technical result will be useful in the following.

Proposition 1.3. *In a group G, consider a map $\varphi : G \to G$ of the form*

$$\varphi(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x, v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)} \quad (\eta(i) \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

for some function $\sigma : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and elements $v_{i,j} \in G$ $(1 \leq i \leq n,\ 1 \leq j \leq \sigma(i))$. Then $\varphi(x)$ can be written in the form

$$\varphi(x) = x\, [x, u_1]^{\lambda(1)} \ldots [x, u_m]^{\lambda(m)} \quad (\lambda(i) \in \mathbb{Z},\ u_i \in G).$$

Proof. By induction, using the relation $[x, y, z] = [x, y]^{-1}[x, z]^{-1}[x, yz]$ □

Frequently in this paper we shall make use of well-known commutator identities (see for example [10, 5.1.5]). In particular, we have the following relations, valid in a metabelian group G, for any $x, y, z \in G$, $t \in G'$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$[xt, y] = [x, y][t, y] \qquad [t^{\lambda}, y] = [t, y]^{\lambda}$$
$$[x, y, z][y, z, x][z, x, y] = 1 \qquad [t, x, y] = [t, y, x]$$

Proposition 1.4. *The set of generalized inner automorphisms of a metabelian nilpotent group G forms a (normal) subgroup of the group of automorphisms of G.*

Proof. If φ and ψ are generalized inner automorphisms respectively defined by

$$\varphi(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, u_i]^{\lambda(i)},\ \psi(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x, v_i]^{\mu(i)},$$

an easy calculus shows that

$$\psi \circ \varphi(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, u_i]^{\lambda(i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x, v_i]^{\mu(i)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} [x, u_i, v_j]^{\lambda(i)\mu(j)}.$$

Thus $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a generalized inner automorphism by Proposition 1.3. It remains to prove that φ^{-1} is a generalized inner automorphism. For that, it suffices to construct for each integer $k \geq 1$ a generalized inner automorphism ψ_k such that $\psi_k \circ \varphi$ is of the form

$$\psi_k \circ \varphi : x \to x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)}$$

for some function $\sigma : \{1, \dots, m\} \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and elements $v_{i,j} \in G$ $(1 \leq i \leq m,\ 1 \leq j \leq \sigma(i))$, and where each commutator is of weight $\geq 1+2^{k-1}$ (namely, $\sigma(i) \geq 2^{k-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$). Indeed, since G is nilpotent, this implies that $\psi_k \circ \varphi(x) = x$ for k large enough, thus $\varphi^{-1} = \psi_k$ is a generalized inner automorphism, as required. We argue by induction on k. The result is clear when $k = 1$ by taking for ψ_1 the identity map. Now suppose that for some integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a generalized inner automorphism ψ_k such that $\psi_k \circ \varphi(x) = x \displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)}$, with $\sigma(i) \geq 2^{k-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Put $\psi_{k+1} = \psi' \circ \psi_k$, where ψ' is defined by $\psi'(x) = x \displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{-\eta(i)}$. We have

$$\psi_{k+1} \circ \varphi(x) = x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)}, v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,\sigma(j)} \right]^{-\eta(j)}.$$

Since

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[x \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}]^{\eta(i)}, v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,\sigma(j)} \right]^{-\eta(j)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,\sigma(j)}]^{-\eta(j)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}, v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,\sigma(j)}]^{-\eta(i)\eta(j)},$$

we obtain

$$\psi_{k+1} \circ \varphi(x) = x \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} [x, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,\sigma(i)}, v_{j,1}, \dots, v_{j,\sigma(j)}]^{-\eta(i)\eta(j)}$$

and this completes the proof of the proposition. □

2. Main result

We recall that a normal automorphism φ of a group G is an automorphism such that $\varphi(H) = H$ for each normal subgroup H of G. These automorphisms form a subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of G. Obviously, this subgroup contains the subgroup of inner automorphisms of G. It happens these subgroups coincide, for instance when G is a nonabelian free group [8], a nonabelian free soluble group [11], or a nonabelian free nilpotent group of class 2 [3]. On the other hand,

the subgroup of inner automorphisms is of infinite index in the group of normal automorphisms when G is a nonabelian free nilpotent group of class $k \geq 3$ [3]. Also note there are exactly two normal automorphisms in a (nontrivial) free abelian group: $x \to x$ and $x \to x^{-1}$. The determination of all normal automorphisms in a free nilpotent group of class $k \geq 3$ seems to be an open problem. Note however that for $k = 3$, Theorem 2.1 below provides such a determination, since the free nilpotent metabelian group of class 3 (and of given rank) coincide with the corresponding free nilpotent group of class 3. One can find in [5] information about the structure of the group of normal automorphisms of a nilpotent group.

Certainly, in a metabelian nilpotent group, each generalized inner automorphism is a normal automorphism, but a normal automorphism need not to be a generalized inner automorphism. However, our main result states that the converse holds in a nonabelian free metabelian nilpotent group.

Theorem 2.1. *In a nonabelian free metabelian nilpotent group, the group of normal automorphisms coincides with the group of generalized inner automorphisms.*

Before to tackle the proof of this theorem, we give a few consequences. Let φ be an automorphism of a group G. According to Schweigert [12], one says that φ is a polynomial automorphism of G if there exist integers $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and elements $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in G$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = (u_1^{-1} x^{\epsilon_1} u_1) \ldots (u_m^{-1} x^{\epsilon_m} u_m)$$

for all $x \in G$. For instance, in a metabelian nilpotent group, any generalized inner automorphism is a polynomial automorphism. It turns out that in a nonabelian free metabelian nilpotent group, these notions coincide.

Corollary 2.1. *An automorphism φ of a nonabelian free metabelian nilpotent group G is a generalized inner automorphism if and only if it is polynomial.*

Proof. Only the part 'if' needs a proof. Therefore, suppose that $\varphi : G \to G$ is a polynomial automorphism defined by

$$\varphi(x) = (u_1^{-1} x^{\epsilon_1} u_1) \ldots (u_m^{-1} x^{\epsilon_m} u_m)$$

and put $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m$. If $\gamma_2(G) = [G', G]$ is the second term of the lower central series of G, it is not difficult to see that φ induces the automorphism $\overline{\varphi} : x \mapsto x^{\epsilon}[x, u]$ on the free nilpotent group $G/\gamma_2(G)$, where $u = u_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots u_m^{\epsilon_m}\gamma_2(G)$. An easy calculation shows that the relation $\overline{\varphi}(xy) = \overline{\varphi}(x)\overline{\varphi}(y)$ is equivalent to the relation $(xy)^{\epsilon} = x^{\epsilon}y^{\epsilon}$. This implies that $\epsilon = 1$, and so φ^{-1} is polynomial by [2, Theorem 1]. Now it is clear that φ is a normal automorphism, hence φ is a generalized inner automorphism by Theorem 2.1. □

In [4], it is proved that the group generated by all polynomial automorphisms of a metabelian group is itself metabelian. Therefore, we can state:

Corollary 2.2. *The group of normal automorphisms of a free metabelian nilpotent group is metabelian.*

Note that this result is valid even if the relatively free group is abelian, since the group of normal automorphisms is abelian in this case.

Recall that an automorphism of a group G is said to be an IA-automorphism if it induces the identity automorphism on G/G'. We shall write $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ for the group of IA-automorphisms of G. In a nonabelian free metabelian nilpotent group G of class k, the group of normal automorphisms is a subgroup of $\mathrm{IA}(G)$. Since $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ stabilizes the lower central series of G, it is nilpotent of class $k-1$ (see for instance [13, p. 9]), and thus so is the group of normal automorphisms. Also this result is a consequence of the fact that in a nilpotent group of class $k \geq 2$, the group generated by all polynomial automorphisms is nilpotent of class $k-1$ [4].

If $d > 1$ denotes the rank of the free metabelian nilpotent group G of class k, note that $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ is metabelian if $d = 2$ (by a result of C.K. Gupta [6]) or if $k \leq 4$ (in this case, $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ is nilpotent of class ≤ 3). On the other hand, it is worth pointing out that contrary to the group of normal automorphisms, $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ is not metabelian if $d > 2$ and $k > 4$. Indeed, without loss of generality, we can assume that G is the free metabelian nilpotent group of class 5 and of rank 3, freely generated by a, b, c. In this group, we define three IA-automorphisms f, g, h by

$$f(a) = a[a, b],\ f(b) = b,\ f(c) = c,$$

$$g(a) = a,\ g(b) = b[b, c],\ g(c) = c,$$

$$h(a) = a,\ h(b) = b,\ h(c) = c[c, a].$$

It follows

$$[f, g](a) = a[c^{-1}, b, a],\ [f, g](b) = b,\ [f, g](c) = c,$$
$$[f, h](a) = a,\ [f, h](b) = b,\ [f, h](c) = c[a, b^{-1}, c],$$

whence

$$[f, h] \circ [f, g](c) = c[a, b^{-1}, c],$$
$$[f, g] \circ [f, h](c) = c[a, b^{-1}, c][c, b, a, b, c].$$

Consequently, $[f, g]$ and $[f, h]$ do not commute, hence $\mathrm{IA}(G)$ is not metabelian.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1.

In all this section, we consider a fixed set S of cardinality ≥ 2 and we denote by M_k the free metabelian nilpotent group of class k freely generated by S. In other words, $M_k = F/F''\gamma_{k+1}(F)$, where F is the free group freely generated by S and $\gamma_{k+1}(F)$ the $(k+1)$th term of the lower central series of F. The normal closure in a group G of an element a is written $\langle a^G \rangle$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any distinct elements $a, b \in S$ and any integer t, the subgroup $\langle (a^t b)^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k) \trianglelefteq M_k$ is generated by the set of elements of the form*

$$\left[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right], \ \text{with } c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1} \in S \ \ (\text{we suppose } k > 1).$$

Moreover, for any subset $\{a = a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r = b\} \subseteq S$ containing a and b, the subgroup

$$\langle (a^t b)^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k) \cap \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r \rangle$$

is generated by the set of elements of the form $[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]$, with $c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1} \in \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$.

Proof. First suppose that $t = 0$ and consider an element $w \in \langle b^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k)$. Hence w is a product of elements of the form $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{\pm 1}$, with $c_i \in S$. More precisely, we can write $w = w_0 w_1$, where w_0 (resp. w_1) is a product of elements of the form $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{\pm 1}$ with $c_i \in S \setminus \{b\}$, (resp. with $c_i \in S$, the element b occuring once at least in $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]$). In fact, substituting 1 for the indeterminate b in the relation $w = w_0 w_1$ and using the fact that w lies in $\langle b^{M_k} \rangle$, we obtain $w_0 = 1$. Thus w is a product of elements of the

form $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{\pm 1}$, with $c_i = b$ for some $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$. If $i = 1$, we can write $[c_0, b, \ldots, c_{k-1}] = [b, c_0, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{-1}$. If $i > 1$, we have $[c_0, c_1, \ldots, b, \ldots, c_{k-1}] = [c_0, c_1, b, \ldots, c_{k-1}]$; by using the relation $[c_0, c_1, b] = [c_1, b, c_0]^{-1}[b, c_0, c_1]^{-1}$, it follows

$$[c_0, c_1, \ldots, b, \ldots, c_{k-1}] = [b, c_1, c_0, \ldots, c_{k-1}][b, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{-1}.$$

Thus we have shown that any element of $\langle b^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k)$ is a product of elements of the form $[b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{\pm 1}$, with $c_i \in S$. Since $[b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}] \in \langle b^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k)$, the first part of our lemma is proved when $t = 0$.
Now consider the general case. Actually, since clearly $S' = \{a^t b\} \cup S \setminus \{b\}$ is a free basis of M_k, we can use the result obtained in the particular case. It follows that $\langle (a^t b)^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k) \trianglelefteq M_k$ is generated by the set of elements of the form $[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]$, with $c_i \in S'$. But in fact we may take $c_i \in S$ and so conclude, since

$$\left[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, a^t b, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right] = \left[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, a, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right]^t \left[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, b, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right].$$

Finally, consider an element $w \in \langle (a^t b)^{M_k} \rangle \cap \gamma_k(M_k) \cap \langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r \rangle$. we can express w in the form $w = w'w''$, where w' (resp. w'') is a product of elements of the form $[a^t b, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}]^{\pm 1}$ with $c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1} \in \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ (resp. with $c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1} \in S$, an element of $S \setminus \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ occuring once at least in the sequence $c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}$). Substituting 1 for all indeterminates in $S \setminus \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$, the equality $w = w'w''$ gives $w = w'$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

As usual, the expression $[x,_n y]$ is defined in a group by $[x,_0 y] = x$ and $[x,_n y] = [[x,_{n-1} y], y]$ for each positive integer n.

For a fixed subset $\{a_0, \ldots, a_r\} \subseteq S$ and a function $\Delta : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$, we define in M_k the symbol $[x, y, \Delta]$ ($x, y \in M_k$) by

$$[x, y, \Delta] = \left[x, y,_{\Delta(0)} a_0,_{\Delta(1)} a_1, \ldots,_{\Delta(r)} a_r\right].$$

Note that for any sequence $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ of elements of $\{a_0, \ldots, a_r\}$, there is a function $\Delta : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $[x, y, b_1, \ldots, b_k] = [x, y, \Delta]$, with $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$ (it suffices to apply the relation $[u, v, w] = [u, w, v]$, valid in any metabelian group whenever u belongs to the derived subgroup). If j, j' are distinct given integers in $\{0, \ldots, r\}$ and if $\Delta(j) \neq 0$, we define the function $\Delta_{(j)}^{(j')} : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\Delta_{(j)}^{(j')}(j) = \Delta(j) - 1, \quad \Delta_{(j)}^{(j')}(j') = \Delta(j') + 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta^{(j')}_{(j)}(i) = \Delta(i) \text{ for all } i \in \{0,\dots,r\} \setminus \{j,j'\}.$$

When Δ is not the null-function, we shall denote by $m(\Delta)$ the least integer j such that $\Delta(j) \neq 0$.

If S is ordered, we may define in M_k *basic commutators* (see for example [9, Chapter 3]. Recall that a basic commutator of weight k' $(2 \leq k' \leq k)$ is a commutator of the form $[b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k'}]$ $(b_i \in S)$, with $b_1 > b_2$ and $b_2 \leq b_3 \leq \cdots \leq b_{k'}$. Any set of these commutators freely generates a free abelian subgroup of M'_k.

In the next lemma, we aim to express a product of commutateurs of the form $[a_s, a_i, \Delta]$ as a product where only basic commutators occur.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{a_0,\dots,a_r\}$ be a finite subset of S $(r>0)$. Choose an integer $s \in \{0,\dots,r\}$ and consider an element $w \in M_{k+2}$ $(k>0)$ of the form*

$$w = \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \quad (\epsilon(i,\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the product is taken over all integers $i \in \{0,\dots,r\}$ and all functions $\Delta : \{0,\dots,r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$. Then:
(i) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} w = & \prod_{i<s,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \prod_{s<i,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_i, a_s, \Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \\ \times & \prod_{s\leq m(\Delta),\, m(\Delta)<i} [a_i, a_s, \Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i} [a_i, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(s)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \\ & \times \prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i} [a_s, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \end{aligned}$$

(in all these products, i lies in $\{0,\dots,r\} \setminus \{s\}$).
(ii) *We have $w = 1$ only if all exponents $\epsilon(i,\Delta)$ with $i \in \{0,\dots,r\} \setminus \{s\}$ occuring in the expression of w are zero.*

Proof. (i) First we write w as a product of two factors:

$$w = \prod_{i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \prod_{m(\Delta)<i} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}.$$

The first factor can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} &= \prod_{i<s,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \prod_{s<i,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \\ &= \prod_{i<s,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_s, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \prod_{s<i,\, i\leq m(\Delta)} [a_i, a_s, \Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the second facteur. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} &= \prod_{s\le m(\Delta),\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\\ &= \prod_{s\le m(\Delta),\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i,a_s,\Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Lemma 3.2(i) is proved if we show the relation

$$\prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} = \tag{1}$$

$$\prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i,a_{m(\Delta)},\Delta^{(s)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\times\prod_{m(\Delta)<s,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_s,a_{m(\Delta)},\Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}.$$

For that, write more explicitly the commutator $[a_s,a_i,\Delta]$ (in the following equalities, we write m instead of $m(\Delta)$):

$$\begin{aligned}[a_s,a_i,\Delta] &= \left[a_s,a_{i,\Delta(0)}\,a_0,\ldots,_{\Delta(r)}\,a_r\right]\\ &= \left[a_s,a_{i,\Delta(m)}\,a_m,\ldots,_{\Delta(r)}\,a_r\right]\\ &= \left[a_s,a_i,a_{m,\Delta(m)-1}\,a_m,\ldots,_{\Delta(r)}\,a_r\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since $[a_s,a_i,a_m]=[a_i,a_m,a_s]^{-1}\,[a_m,a_s,a_i]^{-1}=[a_i,a_m,a_s]^{-1}\,[a_s,a_m,a_i]$, we obtain

$$[a_s,a_i,\Delta]=[a_i,a_m,\Delta^{(s)}_{(m)}]^{-1}[a_s,a_m,\Delta^{(i)}_{(m)}]. \tag{2}$$

Relation (1) is now an immediate consequence of (2).

(ii) Choose an order in S such that $a_0<a_1<\cdots<a_r$. Under this condition, all commutators occuring in the expression of w obtained in the first part of the lemma are basic commutators. Suppose that $w=1$. Since basic commutators of the form $[a_j,a_i,\ldots]$ $(i,j\in\{0,\ldots,r\}\setminus\{s\})$ occur only in the fourth factor, we have $\epsilon(i,\Delta)=0$ whenever $m(\Delta)<s$ and $m(\Delta)<i$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}w=&\prod_{i<s,\, i\le m(\Delta)}[a_s,a_i,\Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\prod_{s<i,\, i\le m(\Delta)}[a_i,a_s,\Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)}\\ &\times\prod_{s\le m(\Delta),\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i,a_s,\Delta]^{-\epsilon(i,\Delta)}=1.\end{aligned}$$

But all basic commutators occuring in this equality are distinct. Thus $\epsilon(i,\Delta)=0$ for all integers $i\neq s$ and all functions Δ, as required. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let φ be a normal automorphism of M_{k+2} $(k > 0)$ acting trivially on $M_{k+2}/\gamma_{k+2}(M_{k+2})$. Then, for all distinct elements $a, b \in S$, there exists a generalized inner automorphism ψ of M_{k+2} such that $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ and $\varphi(b) = \psi(b)$.*

Proof. Let a, b be two distinct elements of S. Then $a^{-1}\varphi(a)$ and $b^{-1}\varphi(b)$ belong to $\langle a^{M_{k+2}}\rangle \cap \gamma_{k+2}(M_{k+2})$ and $\langle b^{M_{k+2}}\rangle \cap \gamma_{k+2}(M_{k+2})$ respectively. By Lemma 3.1, there is a finite subset $\{a = a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r = b\} \subseteq S$ such that

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(a_0) = a_0 \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{\alpha(i,\Delta)} \quad (\alpha(i,\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\varphi(b) = \varphi(a_r) = a_r \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)} \quad (\beta(i,\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the two products are taken over all integers $i \in \{0, \ldots, r\}$ and all functions $\Delta : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ with $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$ (as in Lemma 3.2, $[a_s, a_i, \Delta]$ is defined by $[a_s, a_i, \Delta] = [a_s, a_{i,\Delta(0)}\, a_0, \ldots, {}_{\Delta(r)}\, a_r]$). Note that if $|S| = 2$ (and so $r = 1$), Lemma 3.3 is easily verified by taking the generalized inner automorphism ψ defined by

$$\psi(x) = x \prod_{\Delta} [x, a_1, \Delta]^{\alpha(1,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta} [x, a_0, \Delta]^{\beta(0,\Delta)}.$$

Thus we can assume in the following that $|S| > 2$. For any positive integer t, $(a_0^t a_r)^{-1}\varphi(a_0^t a_r) = (a_0^t a_r)^{-1}\varphi(a_0)^t\varphi(a_r)$ belongs to $\langle a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r\rangle$. Therefore, once again by Lemma 2.1, $\varphi(a_0^t a_r)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\varphi(a_0^t a_r) = a_0^t a_r \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_0^t a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \quad (\eta_t(i,\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $[a_0, a_i, \Delta]$ is a commutator of weight $k+2$ in a nilpotent group of class $k+2$, we obtain

$$\varphi(a_0^t a_r) = a_0^t a_r \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)}.$$

Thus the relation $\varphi(a_0^t a_r) = \varphi(a_0)^t\varphi(a_r)$ implies that

$$\prod_{i,\Delta} [a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\alpha(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}. \tag{3}$$

Choose an order in S such that $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_r$. Then we can use Lemma 3.2(i) (with $s = 0$ or $s = r$) to express each product in (3) as a product of basic commutators (or their inverses). The first product gives

$$\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{0<i,\, i\leq m(\Delta)}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{0\leq m(\Delta),\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\eta_t(i,\Delta)}$$

and so $\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i\neq 0,\,\Delta}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\eta_t(i,\Delta)}$, where this product is taken over all integers $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and all functions $\Delta : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ with $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$.
Likewise we have $\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{t\alpha(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i\neq 0,\,\Delta}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\alpha(i,\Delta)}$.
In the same way, applying Lemma 3.2(i) with $s = r$, the second product of (3) gives

$$\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i\neq r,\, i\leq m(\Delta)}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)}$$

$$\times \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(r)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_r, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)}.$$

Finally, the last product of (3) gives

$$\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i\neq r,\, i\leq m(\Delta)}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}$$

$$\times \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(r)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\beta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_r, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}.$$

Thus relation (3) can be written in the form

$$\prod_{i\neq 0,\,\Delta}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, i\leq m(\Delta)}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \tag{4}$$

$$\times \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(r)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\eta_t(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_r, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\eta_t(i,\Delta)}$$

$$= \prod_{i\neq 0,\,\Delta}[a_i, a_0, \Delta]^{-t\alpha(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, i\leq m(\Delta)}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}$$

$$\times \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_i, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(r)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{-\beta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{i\neq r,\, m(\Delta)<i}[a_r, a_{m(\Delta)}, \Delta^{(i)}_{(m(\Delta))}]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}$$

(so each commutator occuring in this relation is a basic commutator).
Now consider an integer $i \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and a function $\Delta : \{0, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$, with $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$ (we can always suppose that $r > 1$

since $|S| > 2$). By identifying the exponents of the basic commutator $[a_i, a_0, \Delta]$ of each side of relation (4), it is easy to see that

$$t\eta_t(i,\Delta) + \eta_t\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) = t\alpha(i,\Delta) + \beta\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) \tag{5}$$

if $\Delta(r) > 0$, and $\eta_t(i,\Delta) = \alpha(i,\Delta)$ if $\Delta(r) = 0$. We prove by induction on $\Delta(r)$ that actually, we have always the equality $\eta_t(i,\Delta) = \alpha(i,\Delta)$. At first observe that if $\Delta(r) > 0$, we have $\Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}(r) = \Delta(r) - 1$ and so $\eta_t\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) = \alpha\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right)$ by induction. Hence relation (5) implies that

$$\alpha\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) - \beta\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) = t\left\{\alpha(i,\Delta) - \eta_t(i,\Delta)\right\}.$$

Consequently, each positive integer t divides the integer $\alpha\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) - \beta\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right)$, which is independant of t. It follows that $\alpha\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right) = \beta\left(i, \Delta^{(0)}_{(r)}\right)$ and so $\eta_t(i,\Delta) = \alpha(i,\Delta)$, as required.
Using theses relations and taking $t = 1$, relation (3) implies

$$\prod_{\Delta}[a_0, a_r, \Delta]^{\eta(r,\Delta)} \prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{\Delta}[a_0, a_r, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)} \prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}$$

(we write η for η_1) and so

$$\prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)} = \prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta}[a_r, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)}.$$

Since $\varphi(a_r) = a_r \prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\beta(i,\Delta)}$, we obtain

$$\varphi(a_r) = a_r \prod_{i,\Delta}[a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta}[a_r, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)} \tag{6}$$

Now consider the generalized inner automorphism ψ defined by

$$\psi(x) = x \prod_{i=1,\ldots,r,\,\Delta}[x, a_i, \Delta]^{\alpha(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta}[x, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)+\eta(0,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)}.$$

We have

$$\psi(a_0) = a_0 \prod_{i=1,\ldots,r,\,\Delta}[a_0, a_i, \Delta]^{\alpha(i,\Delta)} = \varphi(a_0).$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(a_r) &= a_r \prod_{i=1,\dots,r-1,\,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\alpha(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta} [a_r, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)+\eta(0,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)} \\ &= a_r \prod_{i=1,\dots,r-1,\,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta} [a_r, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)+\eta(0,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)} \\ &= a_r \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [a_r, a_i, \Delta]^{\eta(i,\Delta)} \prod_{\Delta} [a_r, a_0, \Delta]^{\alpha(r,\Delta)-\eta(r,\Delta)}\end{aligned}$$

and so $\psi(a_r) = \varphi(a_r)$ by (6). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.3 is actually a weak form of the next result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let φ be a normal automorphism of M_{k+2} ($k > 0$) acting trivially on $M_{k+2}/\gamma_{k+2}(M_{k+2})$. Then φ is a generalized inner automorphism of M_{k+2}.*

Proof. We can assume that $|S| > 2$ (otherwise Lemma 3.4 is a consequence of Lemma 3.3). Consider two distinct elements $a, b \in S$. According to Lemma 3.3, there exists a generalized inner automorphism ψ such that $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ and $\varphi(b) = \psi(b)$. It suffices to prove that for any $c \in S \setminus \{a, b\}$, we have $\varphi(c) = \psi(c)$. For that, apply again Lemma 3.3: there are generalized inner automorphisms ψ', ψ'' such that $\varphi(a) = \psi'(a)$, $\varphi(c) = \psi'(c)$ and $\varphi(b) = \psi''(b)$, $\varphi(c) = \psi''(c)$. There exists a finite subset $\{a_0, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq S$, containing a, b, c, such that ψ, ψ', ψ'' can be defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= x \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [x, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} \\ \psi'(x) &= x \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [x, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon'(i,\Delta)} \\ \psi''(x) &= x \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [x, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon''(i,\Delta)}\end{aligned}$$

(the products are taken over all integers $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ and all functions $\Delta : \{0, \dots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ with $\Delta(0) + \cdots + \Delta(r) = k$). Since $\psi(a) = \psi'(a)$, we have

$$a \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [a, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)} = a \prod_{i,\,\Delta} [a, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon'(i,\Delta)}$$

and so

$$\prod_{i,\,\Delta}[a, a_i, \Delta]^{\epsilon(i,\Delta)-\epsilon'(i,\Delta)} = 1.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2(ii), we obtain $\epsilon(i,\Delta) = \epsilon'(i,\Delta)$ for all functions Δ and all integers $i \in \{0,\dots,r\}$ such that $a_i \neq a$. Similarly, we have $\epsilon(i,\Delta) = \epsilon''(i,\Delta)$ if $a_i \neq b$ and $\epsilon'(i,\Delta) = \epsilon''(i,\Delta)$ if $a_i \neq c$. It follows that $\epsilon(i,\Delta) = \epsilon'(i,\Delta)$ for all function Δ and all integer $i \in \{0,\dots,r\}$, hence $\psi = \psi'$. Thus $\varphi(c) = \psi'(c) = \psi(c)$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We argue by induction on the nilpotency class k of M_k. If $k = 2$, the result follows from [3, Theorem 2(ii)] (in this case, each normal automorphism is inner). Now consider a normal automorphism φ of M_k, with $k > 2$. Then φ induces a normal automorphism on the quotient group $M_k/\gamma_k(M_k)$. By induction, since this quotient is isomorphic to M_{k-1}, there exists a generalized inner automorphism $\psi : M_k \to M_k$ such that $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)\theta(x)$, where $\theta(x)$ is an element of $\gamma_k(M_k)$. It follows that $\psi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) = x\psi^{-1}(\theta(x))$. Thus $\psi' := \psi^{-1} \circ \varphi$ is a normal automorphism of M_k acting trivially on $M_k/\gamma_k(M_k)$. By Lemma 3.4, ψ' is a generalized inner automorphism, and so is $\varphi = \psi \circ \psi'$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

We end with a question: assume φ is a normal automorphism of a free nilpotent group G of class $k \geq 4$ (and of rank > 1). Is φ of the form

$$\varphi : x \to x\,[x, u_1]^{\lambda(1)} \dots [x, u_m]^{\lambda(m)} \quad (u_i \in G,\ \lambda(i) \in \mathbb{Z})\,?$$

References

[1] A. Caranti and C.M. Scoppola. Endomorphisms of two-generated metabelian groups that induce the identity modulo the derived subgroup. *Arch. Math.* **56** (1991), 218–227.

[2] G. Endimioni. Applications rationnelles d'un groupe nilpotent. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **314** (1992), 431–434.

[3] G. Endimioni. Pointwise inner automorphisms in a free nilpotent group. *Quart. J. Math.* **53** (2002), 397–402.

[4] G. Endimioni. On the polynomial automorphisms of a group. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **73** (2007), 61–69.

[5] S. Franciosi and F. de Giovanni. On automorphisms fixing normal subgroups of nilpotent groups. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* B **7** (1987), 1161–1170.

[6] C.K. Gupta. IA-automorphisms of two-generator metabelian groups. *Arch. Math.* **37** (1981), 106–112.

[7] Ju. V. Kuz'min. Inner endomorphisms of metabelian groups (Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **16** (1975), 736–744; translation in *Siberian Math. J.* **16** (1976), 563–568.
[8] A. Lubotzky. Normal automorphisms of free groups. *J. Algebra* **63** (1980), 494–498.
[9] H. Neumann. *Varieties of groups* (Springer-Verlag, 1967).
[10] D.J.S. Robinson. *A course in the theory of groups* (Springer-Verlag, 1996).
[11] N.S. Romanovskiĭ. Normal automorphisms of free solvable pro-p-groups (Russian). *Algebra i Logika* **36** (1997), 441–453; translation in *Algebra and Logic* **36** (1997), 257–263.
[12] D. Schweigert. Polynomautomorphismen auf endlichen Gruppen. *Arch. Math.* **29** (1977), 34–38.
[13] D. Segal. *Polycyclic groups* (Cambridge University Press, 1983).

C.M.I-Université de Provence, 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie, F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13

E-mail address: endimion@gyptis.univ-mrs.fr